

Comments on GN Docket No 25-133

We, who have been exposed to hazards daily in our electromagnetic atmosphere, even when we choose not to be exposed, are being caused illness, impairments, injuries and early deaths. A major part of this comes from overpowered and oversaturated wireless facilities and devices and wireless body area networks (WBAN) used for biosurveillance, with little to no oversight, so our biofield, our skin, our body and our brains are subjected to electromagnetic and electrical monitoring, harvesting and controls that should be considered crimes against humanity.

I would ask why the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has failed to respond to the August 2021 court remand order by the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals which requires the FCC to review its RF radiation exposure limits in light of over 11,000 pages of scientific, peer-reviewed studies showing biological effects within its limits. But then, we all know this technology has consistently failed to deliver on performance and been shown to cause grievous harm to the population . . . families and children. We know they cannot reconcile this harm. So why does the FCC continue to allow the misappropriation of our utility subsidies to be crossed for continued deployment of this failed, harmful wireless technology? Then tell us we must defend our lives expending resources on a tower-by-tower basis? This is outrageous. Kindly give these matters your consideration.

We call for the following as rules recommended for deletion either in part or in their entirety, and an amendment to clarify a rule.

1. The following rules should be deleted in their entirety:

- Small Cell Order - Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Inv., 33 FCC Rcd. 9088 (2018) [1\[1\]](#)
- Moratoria Order - Declaratory Ruling in Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Inv., 33 FCC Rcd. 7705, 7775–91 (2018) [\[2\]](#)
- One-Touch Make Ready Order – Third Report and Order in Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Inv., 33 FCC Rcd. 7705, 7705–91 (2018) [1\[3\]](#)
- Middle Class Tax Relief Act, Section 6409 initial rules 2014 [1\[4\]](#) - expand perimeter around a tower 6 feet in diameter
- Middle Class Tax Relief Act, Section 6409 expansion 2020 [1\[5\]](#) - expand perimeter around a tower 30 feet in diameter
- NEPA 1986 satellite categorical exclusion [1\[6\]](#)

2. For the 1996 rule promulgating RF limits,^{1[7]} the FCC should issue an interpretive rule clarifying that these limits (a) only provide a regulatory safe harbor with respect to Section 704(c)(7) for the siting of wireless facilities and (b) do not provide a safe harbor for, and are not preemptive of, state-based claims regarding, product liability or property rights.

3. In **47 C.F.R. Section 1.4000**, also known as the Over-the-Air-Transmitting-Devices (OTARD), delete references to “fixed wireless signals:”

The FCC must comply with the 2021 court remand order by the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals which requires the FCC to review its RF radiation exposure limits in light of over 11,000 pages of scientific, peer-reviewed studies showing biological effects within its limits. The court ordered the FCC to examine the effects of long-term exposure to humans and the environment, particularly to children. When will the FCC comply with this court order?

The MAHA Commission Executive Order requires the study of potential contributing causes of childhood chronic disease, including from "electromagnetic radiation." The FCC's actions also need to be consistent with that Executive Order.

Respectfully submitted,

Luanne Moore, Florida, USA

^{1[1]}<https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-facilitates-wireless-infrastructure-deployment-5g>

^{1[2]}<https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-speeds-access-utility-poles-promote-broadband-5g-deployment-0>

^{1[3]}<https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-speeds-access-utility-poles-promote-broadband-5g-deployment-0>

^{1[4]}<https://www.fcc.gov/document/wireless-infrastructure-report-and-order>

^{1[5]}<https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-streamlines-local-approval-wireless-structure-modifications-0>

^{1[6]} Federal Register at page 14999 <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-1986-04-22/pdf/FR-1986-04-22.pdf>

^{1[7]}<https://www.fcc.gov/document/guidelines-evaluating-environmental-effects-radiofrequency>